

Catching Up with **DaViD bYrne**

By Anthony DeCurtis


TALKING HEADS' MAIN MAN David Byrne never lets success slow him down. Hot off back-to-back gold records for the Heads' *Speaking in Tongues* and the *Stop Making Sense* soundtrack, he's just released a solo disk, *The Knee Plays*, consisting of pieces he wrote for playwright Robert Wilson's massive performance art extravaganza, *the CIVIL WarS*. Musically, Byrne takes a turn here, simultaneously moving away from the ecstatic funk of recent Talking Heads outings; the primitive rhythm-charged experimentalism of his Brian Eno collaboration, *My Life in the Bush of Ghosts*; and the spare, spacy excursions

of his songs for choreographer Twyla Tharp's *The Catherine Wheel*.

On *The Knee Plays*, Byrne returns to some characteristic themes (food-shopping, clothing and personality, identity mergers) in spoken narrations over resonant brass arrangements inspired by indigenous New Orleans music. At his record company's offices in New York, Byrne spoke expansively about his new musical direction, the making of *Stop Making Sense*, his new film script, where the Heads are heading, and, um, UFOs. As you'll see, weird as he is, Byrne hasn't yet stopped making sense.

The Knee Plays is such a significant departure for you. How did you get interested in working with New Orleans brass music?

It was in a roundabout way inspired by this band I saw in New Orleans years ago—the Dirty Dozen Brass Band. They're a brass band of pretty conventional instrumentation, but they have arrangements that are more contemporary-sounding than the older bands that might play in the Dixieland or traditional jazz styles. They'll do, like, a Thelonious Monk song, but arranged for a marching band. And then they'll do a little bit of "Beat It" and segue into the theme from *Wild Wild West*.



PHOTOGRAPHS BY STEVEN MARK NEEDHAM

Since then, Robert Wilson approached me about working with him as part of his epic opera, or performance piece or theater piece, *the CIVIL WarS*. He originally approached me about doing music for a few different sections, but due to time limitations I could only do one section. I chose *The Knee Plays*. He calls them that because they function as joints between the larger scenes. Each one is about five minutes long and is performed in front of a backdrop while the big sets and stage elements are being changed from one of the huge scenes to another.

When he approached me, as is his way of working, there was no text, no dialogue

and a very simple kind of story. Most of all there was just a series of little drawings, almost like illustrations for a fairy tale.

When you say a story, did he outline for you what he felt should be happening?

I did music that's going in one direction and the story's going in another direction. And the stage pictures, the staging, is telling another story again.

Having seen some of his theater pieces before, there's a sense that there's a number of things going on simultaneously which in a literal sense don't have anything to do with one another. In another sense, they might. Or they're at least presented

together, and they're sometimes organized in a way that they relate to one another, although they're telling separate stories at the same time, or telling a story and doing a dance at the same time. The dance may say one thing and the story might say something else.

Was the *CIVIL WarS* initially commissioned for the Olympics?

Yeah, (*The Knee Plays*) was just one small part of it. The total thing would have lasted at least eight hours. That's a pretty conservative estimate. The scale of the other scenes and acts is pretty massive and pretty involved. It involves a variety of things

'Most modern ideas that are really good are generally a reaffirmation of an eternal feeling that had been submerged for awhile'



picked from different portions of the arts. In one section there were very well-known opera singers. In another section, a pretty well-known European playwright was doing the text. Then there were film projections, people flying through the air, all sorts of things going on in different sections. Sometimes the sets are just huge, just humongous. They're on the scale of grand opera.

And it wasn't staged because of its scale. Was there any response from the Olympics Committee about that? Were they disappointed?

Some people were disappointed, other people felt that they were totally unfamiliar with that kind of work. Los Angeles is a city that doesn't have a tradition of theater, it has a tradition of film. So there isn't a tradition that theater can be a powerful medium. And it was during a period when there was a whole arts festival for the Olympics, so there were an awful lot of other things going on as well. So the loss of

one, even though it was probably the biggest one of all, wasn't missed as much as it might have been.

In terms of the music you decided to work with for *The Knee Plays*, did you feel that you wanted to make a departure from the things you had been doing? Did you feel you had gone about as far as you wanted to go with funk?

Pretty much. I felt I could keep doing it and still enjoy it, but if I kept doing it I'd turn into a parody of myself or be too completely identified with one thing. My interests are more well-rounded than that. I knew I wanted to do something else with theater or dance. For *The Catherine Wheel*, I did a tape. I thought this time I'd really like to do it with live musicians.

Were you getting this together at the same time you were working on *Stop Making Sense*?

The music was composed while the sound was being mixed for *Stop Making Sense*, at

least a lot of the music was. The sound was being mixed in the daytime, and at night I'd come home and write. The final rehearsals were in April, May (of 1984). At that point, *Stop Making Sense* was done.

Do you have any difficulty making those kinds of shifts?

No, no, because I know in my own life I don't see it as a contradiction if I put on a pop record and right after it listen to something completely different.

You've been living in New York for a long time, but often your songs are set in a world of shopping malls, parking lots, cars, supermarkets. Is there any reason why you keep returning to that type of environment, even though it's removed from your day-to-day experience?

It is a little bit (removed), but somehow that's my impression of America. When I think about the country I live in, that's what I think about. I don't think about New York City. So that's what I write

about, and I assume that's the environment most people live in, too.

Up to a point, it's a challenge. I almost feel obliged to write about the way I live and the way a lot of other people live, rather than writing about fantastic things, about heroic love affairs, or monsters and demons. Although I think those are valid things too, in a sense.

But ordinary daily living is ignored a lot, so it's my job to stand up and say (*rises from his seat and raises a clenched fist*), 'Wait a minute! I'm not gonna shirk that responsibility!'

Now you say the way you live and the way other people live, but a lot of times there's a real dispassionate quality in your presentation of those activities. It's almost as if you're looking at our culture the way an anthropologist or an alien would. Where does that distance come in?

I don't feel that way most of the time. I feel like I fit in pretty well. I mean (*begins grinning wildly*), when I go to a 7-11, I don't feel like, 'Wait a minute! What is *that*? What *is* that? What . . . is . . . that . . . for? How . . . do . . . you . . . eat . . . this?' I don't quite feel like *that*.

But at the same time, it's interesting to almost adopt that kind of approach. And then all of a sudden you look at these things that you deal with every day the way a foreigner would, or the way someone

would who's seeing it for the first time. It makes you see it in a fresh way.

The other reason is, to go along with the history of Bob Wilson's theater . . . quite a lot of recent theater has taken this kind of approach of presenting things in a rather detached way. In a sense the assumption is that the emotional input is left up to the audience. Listeners read their own emotions into it or their own meaning into it and apply it to their own lives. So it's assumed that it's inappropriate for the performer to dictate to the audience what the meaning is of a certain word or what the emotional content is of a certain word or phrase, that that's telling the audience what to think or how to feel. So as much as possible, you try to remove that and let the audience think or feel for themselves.

And so I even extended that to the music (for *The Knee Plays*), where although it would be easy to make this kind of music swing and be real bouncy, mix the drums up more and make it almost like a dance band, a lot of the emotional content has been flattened out, so the relationships among the different parts are more or less just presented without the other stuff.

And yet sometimes when I listen I still find the melodies moving, but in a sense I'm reading my own emotions into it, instead of the players putting theirs in. There's arguments for and against all that, but that's why the record is the way it is.

In "In the Future" you make a series of statements, many of which are contradictory, with the final statement being, "In the future there will be so much going on, no one will be able to keep track of it." Do you see that as a certain kind of reality that the art you create has to reflect?

I suppose it is some sort of response to media glut or something like that, but I think that kind of presentational theater is a way of offering things just for people to look at or listen to, without trying to justify their existence. In the sense that a person doesn't have to justify their existence; they were born and there's nothing much they can do about it! Or a tree, you look at it and there might be some reason why it's there, but it doesn't have to have a meaning.

A lot of this kind of performance is presented, I think, simply to say, 'This is something and here it is.' It might mean something, but more than anything else it *exists* and it's just placed before you.

While the *attitude* is to present things, there is a conscious or unconscious choice of *what* to present. And that *is* probably a response to the contemporary world, but it's hard for me to put my finger on what the reason is for each thing.

In the past, the solo projects that you've worked on have really had an impact on Talking Heads. Is that happening again?

Discwasher. The clear choice for record care.

Where do you turn to get the best sound from your records? The answer is clear. To the Discwasher D4™ Record Care System. Its scientific design uses a unique fluid and directional micro-fiber pad to clean

records safely without leaving residues behind. And the SC-2™ Stylus Care System loosens and wipes away damaging stylus contaminants. All to keep your records playing clean and clear.

You can trust Discwasher. The clear choice for tape and video care, too. Discwasher, leader in the technology of audio and video care products, also provides advanced systems for cleaning tape decks and VCR's.



The sound and sight come through clean and clear

discwasher

©1985 Discwasher
A DIVISION OF INTERNATIONAL JENSEN INC.

1407 North Providence Road, P.O. Box 6021, Columbia, MO 65205

In a very roundabout way this time. On the Talking Heads record we're doing right now, we've used a lot more acoustic instruments. Of course, *(The Knee Plays)* is entirely acoustic. But I don't think the material would remind you of this at all.

Are you producing it yourselves?

Yes.

Was everybody pretty much in agreement about the direction they wanted the band to move in with the upcoming album?

I think so, I think so. We didn't have any big disagreements about the direction any of the songs should go in. Any disagreements we had were pretty small ones.

What made you decide to make *Stop Making Sense*?

I was real happy with the way things seemed to come together in that tour. The visual elements in the staging, the costumes and the music, it seemed to be a culmination of sorts. If not musically, then combining the music with the staging. And it went over really well with audiences. I thought, there's proof that it's successful, it's worth preserving or documenting so that more people can see it. Plus it frees me from having to duplicate any of that.

Many concert movies are bad, even when the concert was good. Was there any concern on your part about the difficulties of putting a concert over on film?

A little bit, not all that much, I guess because I'd had some experience editing videotapes and working with things myself that I didn't feel like I was completely handing over what we'd done to someone else. I still felt like I could be involved in it, have some input into it.

I'm real proud of it, and I think everybody who worked on it was, but at the same time the concert film genre is kind of frowned on and people don't have very high expectations of that genre. So there was a big chance that people would just stay away in droves because they'd been turned off by previous concert things.

Will there be a Talking Heads tour this year?

No, no, not at all. It's time to reassess what a performance with music should do, or could do, or can do. That takes awhile. If you just go out and tour, then you never take time to think or feel what you'd really like to feel out there.


I understand you recently completed a movie script.

I worked with some other writers and wrote a script with songs for a movie project. It's kind of an impressionistic portrait of a town and a few people in the town. It's set in Texas.

Is *True Stories* the working title?

Yeah, because a lot of the characters and

*'I feel obliged to write about the way
I live and the way a lot of other people live,
rather than writing about
heroic love affairs, or monsters and demons'*



events are based on real things.

Isn't it partially based on stories from the *National Enquirer*?

Yeah, some of the characters and situations came from there.

Do you often read the *National Enquirer*?

Occasionally. A little bit that one, and a lot *The World Weekly News* (ed.: *He means The Weekly World News*), which is even more spectacular. That's the one that prints about "UFOs Visit Your Husband"! At the time I was most interested in the human interest stories. For instance, they'd have a story about a husband and wife who considered themselves happily married but they hadn't spoken with each other for about thirty years!

I believe that this family exists, that that's true, that it's not made up. Just as I think that somebody might believe that they took a trip on a UFO. I don't know that it happened, but they might really believe it did. I don't think all the time it's just a bunch of cynics at typewriters making up that stuff and getting a big laugh out

of it. (*Laughs to himself*) A pretty benevolent way of looking at *The World Weekly News*!

You're seen as a real modern guy, but there's a continuing fascination in your work with things that are extremely traditional in one way or another. Is that a dichotomy you feel in yourself?

No, I think the most modern ideas or modern notions that are really good are generally some kind of reaffirmation of some eternal or universal or traditional feeling that had been kind of submerged for a while. And so when it really clicks is when something eternal is rephrased in modern terms and made to live again and have some kind of relevance to contemporary life.

Do you see yourself as a spiritual person, then?

I can accept that as being an important realm in people's living, an important aspect of people's lives. Something that's non-material and doesn't have to do in a really direct way with ordinary notions, that goes beyond that. I can accept that that exists. ○